



Foundations of Information Systems Development

Summary - Glossary - References

This document contains some chapters of ISBN 978 90 72446 12 1, the digital reproduction in an adapted layout of:

“Grondslagen van het ontwikkelen van informatiesystemen”.
 (“Foundations of Information Systems Development”)

by Dr. Willem F. Roest 1988, ISBN 90 72446 01 1

✉ roest.vinkeveen@planet.nl

© Uitgeverij Het Glazen Oog, Venlo/Vinkeveen 1988, 2009

The book was written in Dutch as a PhD dissertation during a sabbatical year, granted by IBM Netherlands. The English version of the glossary is added to this document.

Please note that IBM has the right to use the contents of the book and of translated versions with appropriate references to the original publisher ‘Het Glazen Oog’, Venlo / Vinkeveen, who owns all copyrights.

Preface

Structured Information Modeling (SIM) is a technique for developing a Hierarchical Data Model together with a Hierarchical Process Model.

These complementary models are corresponding parts of an Information Model. The dynamics of this model are mapped out in Workflow Models which connect data and processes in serial or parallel ways.

An Information Model represents a structured view of the real business, and can therefore be used as a basis for business re-engineering, and at the same time, as a blueprint for technical design.

Structured Information Modeling should be carried out in direct interaction with business professionals to ensure the validity in the "real world" of the model as it is mapped out during modeling sessions.

SIM is described in separate documents.

The underpinning methodology of SIM, as described in the PhD dissertation, incorporates both traditional techniques as well as concepts from the object-oriented approach.

Contents

0	Voorwoord voor de leek	
1.0	Inleiding	
2.0	Probleemafbakening	
3.0	Beschouingskader	
3.1	Inleiding	
3.2	Beschouingswijzen van stelsels	
3.3	Beschouingsdomein	
3.4	Beschouingsniveau	
3.5	Samenvatting	
4.0	Beschouingsobject	
4.1	Inleiding	
4.2	Concepten van abstracte objecten	
4.3	Concepten van fysieke objecten	
4.4	Relaties tussen conceptuele en fysieke objecten	
4.5	Samenvatting	
5.0	Beschouwingstechniek	
5.1	De betekenis van beschouwingstechniek	
5.2	Beschouingsrichting	
5.3	Het ontwikkelen van een inlichtingenstelsel	
5.4	Een toepassing	
5.5	Samenvatting	
6.0	Slot	
7.0	Summary⇒ In this document	1
	Begrippenlijst ⇒ Translated version: “Glossary”	4
	Geraadpleegde literatuur ⇒ References , also included ..	22

7.0 Summary

Foundations of information systems development

The problem addressed by this study is stated in three parts:

- The development of information systems calls for skills grounded in knowledge of multiple disciplines. A cross-discipline approach involving concepts from fields such as economics, organisation theory, cybernetics, systems theory, linguistics, mathematics, and information theory - is not easy to achieve because of the lack of a common set of concepts.
- The formulation of a fruitful interdisciplinary intellectual framework requires a common frame of reference, allowing for unambiguous and consistent concept definition. No such adequate frame of reference is available.
- A methodology for information systems development must be built on a sound foundation of theory, allowing for cooperation between the various disciplines. No such theoretical base exists.

Chapter 3 expounds a frame of reference more general than those of the individual disciplines relevant to information systems development.

Using that frame of reference, an interdisciplinary system of concepts is defined in chapter 4.

Chapter 5 contains the formulation of a schema of applicable techniques for analysis and design of information systems, using chapter 3's frame of reference and the concepts of chapter 4.

An information system is regarded here as a tool used to collect, process, store, and deliver data to decision-makers.

Decisions concern such matters as the assignment of tasks to various means of production, the allocation of resources to functions, and ultimately the realisation of an organisation's goals.

Details of the ways information systems are implemented - hardware, software, and people - are beyond the scope of my study; the subject matter is conceptual.

The concepts and techniques discussed, however, allow not only for producing a conceptual description of an information system, but also for systematically describing the real organization in which an information system will be one of the means of production.

Solving the problems caused by differences in meaning of technical terms which impede interdisciplinary communication can be made easier by distinguishing different ways of considering objects or phenomena, different object domains of consideration, and different levels of consideration.

Three different ways of consideration or reasoning are distinguished:

- Causal - explains things in terms of causes and effects.
- Analogical - involves comparison as a method:
 - formally, by comparing the object or phenomenon with formal models;
 - substantively, by reasoning according to comparable objects or phenomena in physical reality.
- Teleological - postulated to be the most important one for the discipline of development. In a teleological view, objects and phenomena are considered from a perspective of means and ends.

Three worlds in the object domain of consideration are distinguished:

- The physical world, observable by sensory perception.
- A world of notions in the human mind.
- A world of representations, models, definitions, expressions, descriptions, simulations, and so on.

For the discipline of development, the linguistic schema syntax / semantics / pragmatics is unsatisfactory.

Distinguishing teleological, analogical (formal and substantive), and causal aspects of the means of description offers the developer a surer grip on the domain of representations.

The third dimension of the framework considers levels. This dimension is defined in two directions:

- Top-down - in terms of transitive verbs such as 'to detail' or 'to decompose'.
- Bottom-up - using verbs such as 'to generalize' or 'to compose'

The concept of hierarchy is shown to be a useful model, especially if one abstracts from connotations of authority or power.

The class name and the usual way of depicting hierarchical databases is wrong and misleading, as is the use of the terms 'aspect' and 'level' interchangeably.

An object is something considered in a teleological, analogical, or causal perspective; in a defined domain, abstract or physical; on a determined level of consideration, elementary or composite. The domain of representations is formed by the text and figures of this paper.

Distinguished as elementary object types are decisions, transformations, and entities, which have or can acquire properties. These are defined thus:

- A decision is an action of the will concerning the state of entities.
- A transformation is a converting operation: creating, changing, or destroying a property of an entity.
- An entity or entity type is an object with a factual, possible existence of its own, with the property of countability.

It is demonstrated that composite objects can be described using those elementary types: for example 'function', 'structure', 'system', 'program', 'procedure', 'control', 'plan', 'policy', and 'organization'. A 'relationship' is a role of a transformation or of an entity.

Information is data with a specific property, namely the capacity to reduce a decision-maker's uncertainty, a subjective issue.

The difference between knowledge and data is determined according to the object domain of consideration. 'Data' is part of the domain of representations, expressing knowledge.

'Knowledge' is considered as an image in the domain of notions in the human mind.

A key concept in this paper is 'function'. It is shown that for the discipline of development, the concept of function is a teleological object, consisting of a transformation and an entity, expressible as a transitive verb and a noun.

Depending on the chosen level of consideration, a function is viewed as an elementary function, or as a structure of functions in which transformations and entities relate the parts.

The mathematical signification of functions corresponds with a formal / analogical way of consideration, which is beneficial for the efficiency or elegance of expressions, models, and simulations, or whatever representation.

The problem of function decomposition - often mentioned in the literature, and also the frequently-quoted contradistinction between a 'data-driven' and a 'process-driven' design methodology - is solved with the system of concepts and the dimensions of the frame of reference of my study. The role of linguistic concepts is instrumental in this context.

A function can be decomposed by examining the details of its entity part, determining the content of the noun in question in a functional relationship with a given transformation.

Another method is by going into the details of its transformation part, determining the content of the transitive verb in question and the functional relationship it has with a given entity.

Apart from the concepts in the domain of notions, physical objects, called 'factors', are briefly discussed. A factor embodies a concept.

People, software packages, computers, buildings, stocks, hard cash, all are means of production in a physical domain, with which abstract systems have been or will be realized.

Relationships between physical objects and abstract objects are primarily established in a teleological way as allocations or assignments. The concept of 'to organize' can be clarified using these notions.

A 'policy' is a set of conditions, restricting and directing the scope of functions. With a 'plan', functions are projected in the dimension of time. Time is looked upon as being a physical dimension, like length, width, and height, which are essential in a physical reality, but from which one can grossly abstract in a conceptual domain of notions.

Using the system of concepts of chapter 4, chapter 5 depicts a consistent set of design techniques. This schema indicates how a system developer can map out blueprints of an organization and also of a conceptual information system for that organization.

The difference between top-down and bottom-up directions, applying a considered design technique, is clarified. Top-down development is more effective than bottom-up: going into details is easier than generalization and dividing takes less effort than unifying, given that the developer has the capacity to view the system to be designed at an adequate level.

The so-called 'technique of consideration' comprises a consistent set of mental actions within an established frame of reference, for determining the identities and properties of objects or phenomena.

The term 'technique' should therefore not be identified with actions and objects in the physical domain.

It is shown that the consistency of the variants of the technique of consideration can be determined with the dimensions of the framework: ways of consideration, domains of consideration, levels of consideration.

With the help of linguistic concepts, it is also shown that concepts of organization theory such as 'to differentiate' and 'to specialize' can be usefully applied to the arranging of components in a conceptual information system.

Subordinating and coordinating variants can be ordered according to teleological, analogical, and causal features. As a consequence of and consistent with a designed structure of functions, the pertinent control structure and data structure can be arrived at.

Several variants are discussed with the help of various examples. A competent representative of the organization which will use the system should be involved in the selection of an applicable decomposition technique. This person will be guided by his or her own teleological notions concerning the desired future reality.

With respect to the ordering of the structure of a function, several guidelines were mentioned. More research is needed to verify these guidelines and the fruitfulness of the decomposition techniques presented.

B. Glossary

Abstract	Free of any form of appearance. An abstract object is not observable by sensory perception, but can only be seen in the mind's eye.
Advisory data	Information of an exploratory nature, which assists in the execution of a process.
Allocate	To allot resources to a process, or media to data.
Analogical	A specific way to consider, describe or explain objects, using comparison as a method. Two main types of analogical reasoning are distinguished: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Formally, by comparing the object or phenomenon with formal models.• Substantively, by reasoning according to comparable objects or phenomena in physical reality. Analogical reasoning deals with the question: 'like what'. <i>See also: Causal, Teleological.</i>
Analyze	To examine existing <i>objects, relationships</i> or <i>properties</i> , within a <i>domain of consideration</i> .
Aspect	The sight of an object from a specific point of view. A represented aspect tells something about this point of view, or about the object being considered. An aspect is a recognized or attributed property. <i>See also: Property, Value.</i>
Assign	To designate a task for a resource.
Attribute	A characteristic of an <i>entity</i> . This definition may have two different meanings, which should not be used interchangeably: <ul style="list-style-type: none">○ An <i>entity</i>, subordinate to a considered entity. For example: the pipe of Sherlock Holmes.○ A <i>property</i> that can be separately <i>transformed</i>. For example: the format of a field. <i>See also: Property, Value.</i>
Balance of information	<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ The ratio between supplied and obtained,○ or between requested and offered information of persons or organizations that exchange data.

Bottom-up	An upward technique for analysis, design and realization. This technique takes details into consideration first, and subsequently views these details as parts of a <i>structure</i> . <i>See also: Level of consideration, Generalize, Integrate, Parallel.</i>
Business Process	A process in an enterprise. <i>See also: Process, Function</i>
Causal	A specific way to consider, describe or explain objects, by following a chain of causes and effects that leads back to earlier causes or origins. Causal reasoning deals with the question: 'from what'. <i>See also: Analogical, Teleological.</i>
Characteristic	An <i>attribute</i> or a <i>property</i> .
Code	A system of <i>symbols</i> , in the form of: characters, figures, colors, shapes, sounds.
Complex	Multiple occurrences in multiple dimensions.
Concept	An image or notion of something in one's mind. Concepts are part of the abstract <i>domain of consideration</i> , which may be represented by symbols.
Conclusion	A deduction or inference ascertained by existing data. A conclusion is fundamentally different from a decision, the former being a matter of certainty and predefined choice, the latter dealing with uncertainty and being an action of the will.
Concrete	A property that can be measured or evaluated.
Condition	A desired property of an object.

Consider	<p>To deal with something in one's mind. Compare: to contemplate, to reflect, to observe. Note: For this term no adequate word is available in the English language. To consider should cover the concept of the German word 'anschauen' (Dutch: 'beschouwen'), a term with philosophical connotations. <i>See also: Frame of consideration, Object of consideration, Technique of consideration.</i></p>
Constant	<p>A fixed or invariable property of an object during a specific period. This period may be shorter or longer than the cycle time or life cycle of the system, of which the object is a part.</p>
Constraint	<p>A restriction of the range of <i>values</i> applicable to the execution of a process. <i>See also: Policy, Strategy.</i></p>
Control	<p>To make <i>decisions</i> about the execution of a process. Decision making is often constrained by conditions, and supported by available information.</p>
Control condition	<p>A constraining rule for making decisions.</p>
Control time	<p>The time elapsed between examination and evaluation of information, making a decision, and passing on the decision.</p>
Co-ordinate	<p>Positioned on the same <i>level</i>. Apples and pears are co-ordinate on the same level under fruit. Skin, flesh, and core are sub-ordinate of fruit.</p>
Data	<p>Descriptions of any kind in any form. Data is part of the <i>domain of representations</i>. Data, in fact, is encoded knowledge.</p>
Decide	<p>To resolve an uncertain state by the authoritative statement of 'yes' or 'no'. To decide is the core action of control.</p>
Decision	<p>Action of the will concerning the state of objects. Resolution of uncertainty.</p>

-
- Decisions by object** This is a classification according to the object of the decision, yes or no, concerning:
- The allocation, re-allocation, or de-allocation of resources to a process
 - The assignment, re-assignment or de-assignment of tasks for a resource
 - The allocation or authorization of power to a resource.
- Decisions by type** This is a classification according to conditions and their handling:
- Unconditional
 - Once-only single conditional ('if')
 - Once-only multiple conditional ('case')
 - Repeatedly single conditional ('while')
 - Repeatedly multiple conditional ('case and while').
- Description** A representation of an abstract or physical object.
See also: Mapping, Model.
- Design** To imagine and lay down desired *elements, relations* and *properties*.
- Develop** To bring an object into being, so that it has an existence of its own and fulfills the ascribed meaning.
- Differentiate** To break down a process into *subprocesses* by particularizing the *transformation* part of the *process*.
For example: the process 'sell bicycles' is differentiated by specifying details about selling, in functional relationship with bicycles.
Differentiation can be done *co-ordinately* (specifying the kind of selling), or *sub-ordinately* (specifying the phases of selling).
Both variants can be specified in more detail according to *teleological, analogical* or *causal* values.
To differentiate is the opposite of to *integrate*.
See also: Top-down, Level of consideration, Specialize.
- Diversify** To combine *specialized* processes into a *multi-top* structure.
- Domain**
- A demarcated area in which objects can be positioned, and evaluated.
 - The same name is used for another, more specific concept: a range of possible *values* of a *property*.
-

Domain of consideration	<p>A demarcated area in which objects can be positioned, and evaluated. Three different domains of consideration are distinguished:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The <i>abstract</i> domain of ideas: conceptual, immaterial; a world of notions in the human mind.• The domain of <i>representations</i>, models, definitions, expressions, descriptions, simulations.• The physical domain: material, substantial. <p>Domain of consideration is part of the <i>Frame of consideration</i>. <i>See also: Object of consideration, Technique of consideration.</i></p>
Dynamic system	<p>A system in action, of which the elements and their relations have <i>variable properties</i>.</p>
Effective	<p>Approaching a defined goal, or fulfilling an objective.</p>
Efficient	<p>Achieving maximal results with a given amount of resources, or achieving a defined result with a minimum amount of resources.</p>
Element	<p>An object that is not to be broken down into constituent parts, either because this is practically not useful, or because it is theoretically impossible.</p>
Elementary	<p>On the lowest level; not to be broken down further.</p>
Entity	<p>An object of consideration with an actual or possible existence of its own, with the property of countability. Entities are denoted with nouns. A cup of coffee is an entity; coffee is not (not countable). Words as such are entities; that which is denoted with a word can be something else (a property, for example). Therefore the <i>word</i> color is an entity, but in the <i>physical domain</i> a color is only a <i>property</i> of an entity.</p>
Entity type	<p>A class of entities with a common property.</p>
Environment	<p>A set of persons, systems, organizations, and the like, that can interface with the system being considered.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Inputs originated from the environment may be grouped into sets of <i>constraints, triggers / events, raw data, and advisory data</i>.• Outputs for the environment may be grouped into sets of <i>feedback / reports, requests, results / products, and waste / scrap</i>.

Event	A noteworthy happening that may invoke actions within a system. <i>See: Trigger</i>
External	Not a part of a structure being considered, but of its environment.
Factor	In the context of SIM, a factor is a physical object that can have or execute a function or process. This meaning is comparable with production factor: a means of production, capital or labor.
Factor Environment Model	A mapping of a factor and its environment, separately depicted as inputs and outputs.
Factor structure	The material embodiment of functions and entities.
Feedback	<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ As a verb: to pass on stored data to a point of control.○ As a noun: data stored in a memory, which is passed on to a point of control.
Feedback cycle	The loop of getting information, making a decision, executing action, supplying feedback.
Flow data	Data in transition from a process to another process. Flow data may be collected and stored as sets.
Frame of consideration	A set of dimensions with which aspects and values of objects considered can be determined. This frame consists of three parts: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>Way of consideration</i>• <i>Domain of consideration</i>• <i>Level of consideration.</i> <i>See also: Object of consideration, Technique of consideration.</i>
Function	<p>A <i>transformation</i> of a <i>property</i> of an <i>entity</i>.</p> <p>A function is an abstract or representational <i>teleological</i> object: something to be achieved. For example: 'sell bicycles', 'collect customer data', 'paint frames'.</p> <p>Functions can be executed by allocated human or non-human factors.</p> <p>A function is described with a transitive verb (possibly characterized by adverbs) in conjunction with a noun (possibly characterized by adjectives), where the nouns represent the direct object.</p> <p>In some methodologies a distinction is made between the concepts of function and <i>process</i>. In SIM no fundamental distinction is made. Therefore these terms are used interchangeably.</p>

Function Environment Model	A mapping of a function and its environment, separately depicted as inputs and outputs. Same as: <i>Process Environment Model</i> .
Function Model	A mapping of a function <i>structure</i> .
Function structure	Hierarchical composition of functions, with a single all-comprising top, <i>teleologically</i> arranged by main functions and subfunctions. Procedures can be derived from function structures by an arrangement in a <i>serial</i> or in a <i>parallel</i> order of execution. <i>See also: Function Model, Workflow Model.</i>
Functional analysis	The examination of actual elements, relations, and properties in a <i>teleological</i> view.
Functional design	The description of desired elements, relations and properties in a teleological view, regardless of physical characteristics.
Generalize	To group into a whole, or to comprise according to a single denominator. To generalize is the opposite of to <i>particularize</i> . <i>See also: Bottom-up, Level of consideration, Integrate, Parallel.</i>
Goal	What is attempted to be achieved. For example: 'sell bicycles', 'import spare parts'.
Heterarchy	A <i>multi-top</i> hierarchical arrangement of parts.
Hierarchy	An arrangement of objects on more than one level according to specific values for each level. Three kinds of hierarchies are distinguished: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Business process hierarchies contain sets, subsets, sub-subsets, and so on, of business processes.• Data hierarchies represent sets, subsets, sub-subsets, and so on, of business items.• Control hierarchies represent scope, subscope, sub-subscope and so on, of control or authorization to make decisions. <i>See also: Holarchy.</i>

Hierarchical Data Model	<p>Hierarchical composition of data, with a single all-comprising top, with data on lower <i>levels</i> of the hierarchy as subsets of the higher levels.</p> <p>The arrangement of the structure corresponds to the related hierarchical process model. The contents of the hierarchy is according to <i>co-ordinate</i> or <i>sub-ordinate</i> values obtained in a <i>teleological</i>, <i>analogical</i> or <i>causal</i> view.</p>
Hierarchical Process Model	<p>Hierarchical composition of processes, with a single all-comprising top, <i>teleologically</i> arranged by processes and subprocesses.</p> <p>Same as: <i>Function Model</i>.</p>
Higher	<p>Comprising more.</p>
Holarchie	<p>A single-top hierarchical arrangement of parts, where the object at the top comprises all lower levels.</p>
Horizontal	<p>On the same level within a structure being considered.</p>
Identification	<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ The determination of the identity of an object.○ Also: the means with which the identity of an object is uniquely recorded.
Identity	<p>That which determines the existence of a unique object.</p>
Index	<p>A set of <i>keys</i>.</p>
Information	<p>Data with the specific property of reducing uncertainty for making <i>decisions</i>.</p>
Information Model	<p>A hierarchical model, consisting of a Hierarchical Data Model and a complementary Hierarchical Process Model.</p> <p>These corresponding models reflect each other's contents, one representing classes of data and attributes, the other portraying processes that act on these data.</p> <p>An Information Model represents a <i>conceptual</i> view of the real business, and can therefore be used as a basis for business re-engineering, as well as a blueprint for <i>technical design</i>.</p>
Information system	<p>A tool used to collect, process, store, retrieve, and deliver data to decision makers.</p>

-
- Integrate** To join together differentiated processes into a single process.
To integrate is the opposite of to *differentiate*.
See also: Bottom-up, Level of consideration, Parallel.
- Interface**
- The common element between two or more elements, which relate or connect these elements.
 - More specifically: the description in terms of format, frequency, transfer time, medium, encoding, and the like, of output/input that connects two processes.
- Internal** A part of a structure being considered, not of its environment.
- Key** A means to find the location of an object.
- Level** *See: Level of consideration.*
The relationship between levels is defined with transitive verbs such as to particularize, to decompose (top-down) or to compose, to group, to generalize (bottom-up).
- Level of consideration** A dimension where in a *top-down* direction objects can be classified, distinguished, discerned, particularized, decomposed, broken down, parsed, detailed, and specialized, according to a chosen value.

In a *bottom-up* direction, objects can be grouped, composed, and generalized, according to a chosen value.

Level of consideration is part of the *Frame of consideration*.
See also: Object of consideration, Technique of consideration.
- Life cycle**
- The circular course through the phases of:
coming into being, growing, being mature, declining, and vanishing.
 - In transformational terms:
be defined, be obtained, be changed, be stored, be supplied, and be destroyed.
- Logical** According to a specific *way of consideration* or reasoning, so that all *relations* between elements are of the same kind.
See also: Analogical, Causal, Teleological.
- Lower** Comprising less.

Mapping	Representation of an abstract or physical object. <i>See also: Description, Model.</i>
Meta	On a higher <i>level of consideration</i> ; concerning. <ul style="list-style-type: none">○ A meta-notion is an idea about an idea.○ A meta-mapping is a mapping of a mapping.○ A meta-transformation is a transformation of a transformation.○ Meta-data is data about data.
Model	Mapping of an existing or not yet existing object. Essentially the concept of model means the same as: <i>representation, description, simulation, show, mock-up.</i>
Multidimensional Organization Model	A scheme describing <i>physical</i> production factors on one axis, such as people, hardware, media. On the other axis of this matrix, <i>conceptual</i> objects are represented: processes and conceptual data. The relationships between these two dimensions can be described in terms of: to perform, to embody, to trigger, to decide, to approve, to get output from performer, to supply performer with input. From the perspective of the conceptual axis these relationships are called <i>allocations</i> . From the perspective of the physical axis they are <i>assignments</i> . <i>See also: Organizational design.</i>
Multi-top	With different, non-joinable objects on the highest level of a <i>hierarchy</i> . <i>See also: Heterarchy, Diversify.</i>
Module	A unit within a program, containing definitions of variables, transforming instructions, and conditions.
Normalize	To reduce redundancy.

-
- Object** What one considers, describes or fabricates.
See also: Object of consideration.
- Note: the meaning of object in a pure object-oriented (OO) approach is more specific than in SIM. However, the SIM concept of *process* covers the OO concept closely. This may become clear in OO analysis, using *process environment models* and SIM *particularizing techniques*.
- If characteristics of object-oriented languages dominate a conceptual view of the real world, then object orientedness, in fact, deals with *formal analogical* reasoning instead of *substantive analogical* reasoning.
- Objective** A goal with measurable properties.
- Object of consideration** That which is considered, using a *technique of consideration*, within a frame of consideration. Three categories of elementary objects are distinguished:
- *Entities*
 - *Transformations*
 - *Decisions.*
- These elementary objects are building bricks for construing composite objects such as: *process, structure, information, control, and system.*
- Organizational design** Description of desired allocations and assignments, authorizations, and responsibilities.
See also: Multidimensional Organization Model.
- Organize** To determine the pattern of allocations/assignments between physical resources (*factors*) and conceptual objects (*processes, data, control*).
- Paradigm** A framework of concepts, lines of thought, and standard examples of a scientific community in a specific field.
- Parallel**
- As an adjective: a type of arrangement that allows processes to execute concurrently. Only specialized processes, without interdependence, can be arranged in this way.
 - As a verb: to unify specialized processes.
- See also: Bottom-up, Level of consideration, Integrate.*

Particularize	To break down in constituent parts or classes. Compare: to specify, to decompose, to parse, to detail. To particularize is the opposite of to <i>generalize</i> . <i>See also: Top-down, Level of consideration, Differentiate, Specialize.</i>
Physical	Observable by sensory perception.
Physical system	A controlled factor structure.
Plan	To project the execution of processes in the dimension of time.
Policy	A set of constraining rules.
Practical	What one does.
Pragmatic	What one tries to achieve.
Procedure	A set of (one or more) sequentially arranged processes, on a specific level of detail, with predetermined <i>decisions by type</i> and control conditions. <i>See also: Workflow Model.</i>
Process	<p><i>A transformation of a property of an entity.</i></p> <p>A process is an abstract or representational <i>teleological</i> object: something to be achieved. For example: 'sell bicycles', 'collect customer data', 'paint frames'. Processes can be executed by allocated human or by non-human (production) factors.</p> <p>A process is described with a transitive verb (possibly characterized by adverbs) in conjunction with a noun (possibly characterized by adjectives), where the nouns represent the direct object.</p> <p>In some methodologies a distinction is made between the concepts of <i>function</i> and process. In SIM no fundamental distinction is made. Therefore these terms are used interchangeably.</p>
Process Environment Model	A mapping of a process and its environment, separately depicted as inputs and outputs.
Process Model	A mapping of a process structure.

Process structure	<p>Hierarchical composition of processes, with a single all-comprising top, <i>teleologically</i> arranged by main processes and subprocesses.</p> <p>Procedures can be derived from process structures by an arrangement in a <i>serial</i> or <i>parallel</i> order of execution. <i>See also: Workflow Model.</i></p>
Production	<p>Adding value to input.</p>
Production factor	<p><i>See: Factor.</i></p>
Program	<p>A unit consisting of one or more <i>modules</i>.</p>
Project	<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ To map out in the dimension of time.○ A defined set of activities aimed at achieving a determined objective.
Property	<p>A qualitative or quantitative <i>aspect</i> of an <i>entity</i> or a <i>transformation</i>. A property is determined according to a given denominator or point of view. In the physical domain, properties cannot exist independently, like entities.</p> <p>Properties can be evaluated or measured, entities are countable. Properties are denoted with adjectives or adverbs, or with equivalent clauses. <i>See also: Aspect, Value.</i></p>
Raw data	<p>Inputs that are ingredients for a transforming process, which produces specific results.</p>
Realization	<p>The implementation of the <i>technical design</i> by recording and executing system software-oriented and hardware-oriented definitions, statements, and commands, and by implementing the organizational design.</p>

Relation	<p>An object that connects two or more objects of another category.</p> <p><i>Entities</i> are related by <i>transformations</i>. For example: ‘customer- buy – bicycle’</p> <p><i>Transformations</i> are related by <i>entities</i>. For example: ‘buy - bicycle – sell’.</p> <p><i>Processes</i> are related either by <i>entities</i> or by <i>transformations</i>.</p> <p>Relations between <i>conceptual</i> and <i>physical</i> objects are called <i>Allocations</i> or <i>Assignments</i>.</p> <p><i>See also: Organize.</i></p> <p>The terms <i>relationship, association, link, join, reference, connection, and coupling,</i> are instances of a class with common properties.</p>
Serial	<p>Sequentially arranged.</p> <p>Such an arrangement is mandatory for execution of differentiated processes with input/output dependency.</p>
Set	<p>A collection of elements with a common property.</p>
Single-top	<p>With a single all-comprising object on the highest <i>level</i> of a <i>hierarchy</i>.</p>
Specialize	<p>To break down a <i>process</i> in subprocesses by <i>particularizing</i> the <i>entity</i> part of the process. For example: the process ‘sell bicycles’ is specialized by specifying details about bicycles, in functional relationship with selling.</p> <p>Specialization can be done <i>co-ordinately</i> (by specifying the kind of bicycle) or <i>sub-ordinately</i> (by specifying parts of a bicycle), according to <i>teleological, analogical</i> or <i>causal</i> values.</p> <p>To specialize is the opposite of to <i>parallel</i>.</p> <p><i>See also: Top-down, Level of consideration, Differentiate.</i></p>
Standardize	<p>To determine and accept specific equal shapes, measures, contents, assembly, denotation, and the like, for an object, so that general validity is obtained for this object.</p>
State	<p>A set of valued properties of an object.</p>
Static system	<p>A structure that is not in action, or cannot be put into action.</p>
Strategy	<p>Policy on the highest level with a long time span.</p>

Structure	A set of related parts on one or more <i>levels</i> .
Structured	Containing relationships.
Structured Information Modeling	<p>SIM is a technique for developing a <i>Hierarchical Data Model</i> together with a <i>Hierarchical Process Model</i>.</p> <p>These complementary models are corresponding parts of an <i>Information Model</i>. The underpinning methodology incorporates both traditional techniques as well as concepts from the object-oriented approach.</p> <p><i>See also: Technique of consideration.</i></p>
Subprocess	<p>A part of a process.</p> <p>Different kinds of subprocesses can be found by particularizing a process in different ways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• A particular <i>transformation</i> of a <i>property</i> of an <i>entity</i>. For example: frequent updating of a record (<i>differentiated</i>).• The <i>transformation</i> of a particular <i>entity type</i>. For example: updating of an old record (<i>specialized</i>).
Subsystem	A <i>differentiated</i> or <i>specialized</i> part of a system.
Sub-ordinate	<p>The positioning of objects on different <i>levels</i>.</p> <p>Apples and pears are coordinate on the same level under fruit. Skin, flesh, and core are sub-ordinate of fruit.</p>
Symbol	<p>A representational element.</p> <p><i>See also: Code.</i></p>
System	A <i>structure of entities, transformations, and controls</i> , with which a goal or objective can be approached or achieved.
Task	An assigned or accepted process or subprocess, seen from the perspective of the <i>factor</i> (resource).

Technical	<p>According to the means used.</p> <p>If the means are human, then psycho-technical and socio-technical disciplines will dominate. If the means used are software or hardware, other technical disciplines will be relevant.</p> <p>In SIM, the means used (resources) are viewed as production factors. Therefore technical is to be understood as factor-technical.</p>
Technical design	<p>The description of desired elements, relationships, and properties of an object, according to characteristics of resources to be used.</p>
Technique of consideration	<p>An ordered set of mental actions, with which an object may be identified and characterized, using a determined <i>frame of consideration</i>.</p> <p>The order in the set of mental actions may be:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In a <i>top-down</i> or <i>bottom-up</i> direction2. In a <i>differentiating</i> or <i>specializing</i> manner3. On <i>co-ordinate</i> or <i>sub-ordinate levels</i>4. According to different values in a <i>causal</i>, <i>analogical</i>, or <i>teleological</i> perspective. <p>Thus a process like ‘repair broken chain’ can be found on a low level under a main process called ‘sell bicycles profitably’. Another variant may end up in ‘mail promotional material to early retired persons in the Netherlands.’ <i>See also: Frame of consideration, Structured Information Modeling.</i></p>
Teleological	<p>A specific way to consider, describe or explain objects, by viewing them as means and ends. Teleological reasoning deals with the question: ‘what for’. <i>See also: Analogical, Causal.</i></p>
Theoretical	<p>What one sees or perceives.</p>

Top-down	<p>A downward technique, taking an object as a whole first, and subsequently viewing it as a structure of parts, during analysis, design and realization.</p> <p><i>See also: Level of consideration, Particularize, Differentiate, Specialize.</i></p>
Transformation	<p>A converting operation that creates, changes or destroys a <i>property</i> of an object.</p> <p>For example: properties of form, place, time, frequency, medium, value, encoding, sequence, definiteness, and the like.</p> <p>Transformations are denoted by transitive verbs. Properties of transformations are described with adverbs.</p>
Transformation structure	<p>A composite set of changes of the state of an object.</p>
Trigger	<p>A noticeable event that may invoke actions within a system.</p>
Value	<p>The magnitude or the unique meaning of a <i>property</i>, which can be assessed in three ways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">○ As a means to a goal (teleological)○ By its caliber (analogical)○ As a result of a transformation (causal). <p>A value is an elementary property, not to be broken down any further for practical or theoretical reasons.</p> <p>Therefore <i>red</i> may be a value of the property <i>color</i>, but <i>red</i> may also be a property with the value <i>light red</i>.</p> <p><i>See also: Property.</i></p>
Variable	<p>Changeable during a specific period, which may be shorter or longer than the cycle time or <i>life cycle</i> of a system or object, being considered.</p>
Vertical	<p>Between different <i>levels</i> within a <i>structure</i> being considered.</p>

Way of consideration The way one develops an image in one's mind of objects that exist or do not yet exist. In SIM three different ways of consideration are distinguished:

- *Teleological*
- *Analogical*
- *Causal*

Way of consideration is part of the *Frame of consideration*.

See also: Object of consideration, Technique of consideration.

Workflow Model A mapping of the flow (life cycle) of a particular object across a *Hierarchical Process Model* and the complementary *Hierarchical Data Model* on a chosen level of detail. Such a flow contains *serial* and *parallel* arrangements of execution of processes.

Workflow Models can be depicted for representational objects (data and processes) and for physical objects (materials and production factors).

See also: Procedure.

References

A01 Ackoff,R.L. 1960, Systems, organizations, and interdisciplinary research. In 'Systems thinking' 1969, ed. F.E.Emery. Harmondsworth, Penguin Books.

A02 Ackoff,R.L. 1967, Management misinformation systems. In 'Management science', Volume 14, no. 4.

A03 Ackoff,R.L. en F.E.Emery 1972, On purposeful systems. Chicago, Aldine Atherton.

A04 Ackoff,R.L. 1979, The future of operational research is past. In 'General Systems', Volume XXIV. The Society for General Systems Research.

A05 Alexander,C. 1974, Notes on the synthesis of form. Cambridge, Massachusetts, Harvard University Press.

A06 Algemene Nederlandse Spraakkunst (ANS) 1984, Red. G.Geerts, W.Haeseryn, J. de Rooij, M.C. van den Toorn, Groningen/Leuven, Wolters-Noordhoff.

A07 Angyal,A. 1941, A logic of systems. In 'Systems thinking' 1969, ed. F.E.Emery. Harmondsworth, Penguin Books.

A08 Ansoff,H.I. 1965, Corporate strategy. New York, McGraw-Hill.

A09 Ansoff,H.I. 1968, Toward a strategic theory of the firm. In 'Business strategy' 1969, ed. H.I.Ansoff. Harmondsworth, Penguin Books.

B01 Barros,O. 1987, Information requirements and alternatives in information system design. In 'Information Systems', vol. 12, no. 2.

B02 Battus 1981, Opperlandse taal- en letterkunde. Amsterdam, Querido.

B03 Bemelmans,T.M.A. 1981, Bestuurlijke informatiesystemen en automatisering. Leiden, Stenfert Kroese.

B04 Bergland,G.D. en R.D.Gordon 1979, Software design strategies. New York, The Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers.

B05 Bertalanffy, L. von 1956, General system theory. In 'General Systems', Volume I. The Society for General Systems Research.

B06 Bertels,C.P. en D.Nauta 1969, Inleiding tot het modelbegrip. Bussum, De Haan.

B07 Biller,H. en E.J.Neuhold 1977, Concepts for the conceptual schema. In 'Architecture and models in data base management systems, ed. G.M.Nijssen. Amsterdam, North-Holland.

B08 Blaauw,G.A. 1976, Beschrijven en begrijpen. Rede 15e dies natalis, Technische Hogeschool Twente.

B10 Bloch,A. 1980, Murphy's law. Los Angeles, Price, Stern, Sloan.

B11 Bok,S.T. 1961, Cybernetica. Utrecht, Het Spectrum.

B12 Boland,R.J. en R.A.Hirschheim 1987, ed. of 'Critical issues in information systems design'. Chicester, John Wiley & Sons.

B13 Boland R.J. 1987, The in-formation of information systems. In 'Critical issues in information systems design', ed. R.J.Boland en R.A.Hirschheim. Chicester, John Wiley & Sons.

B14 Borgida,A., J.Mylopoulos en H.K.Wong 1984, Generalization/specialization as a basis for software specification. In 'On Conceptual Modelling', ed. M.L.Brodie, J.Mylopoulos en J.W.Schmidt. New York, Springer-Verlag.

B15 Bosch,P.G. en H.M.Heemskerk 1977, Analyse van informatie-behoefte en de inhoudsbeschrijving van een databank. Den Haag, Academic Service.

B16 Bosman,A. 1983, Decision support systems, problem processing and co-ordination. In 'Processes and tools for decision support', ed. H.G.Sol. Amsterdam, North-Holland.

B17 Botter,C.H. 1980, Industrie en organisatie. Deventer, Kluwer/NIVE.

B19 Boulding,K. 1956, General system theory - the skeleton of science. In 'General Systems', Volume I. The Society for General Systems Research.

B20 Boulding,K. 1986, The next thirty years in general systems. In 'General Systems', Volume XXIX. The Society for General Systems Research.

B21 Brandt Corstius,H. 1974, Algebraïsche taalkunde. Utrecht, Oosthoek.

B22 Brandt Corstius.H. 1978, Computer-taalkunde. Muiderberg, Coutinho.

B23 Brodie,M.L., J.Mylopoulos en J.W.Schmidt 1984, ed. of 'On conceptual modelling, Perspective from Artificial Intelligence, Databases, and Programming Languages'. New York, Springer-Verlag.

B24 Brodie,M.L. 1984, On the development of data models. In 'On Conceptual Modelling', ed. M.L.Brodie, J.Mylopoulos en J.W.Schmidt. New York, Springer-Verlag.

B25 Brooks,F.P. 1975, The mythical man-month. Reading, Massachusetts, Addison-Wesley.

B26 Bubenko,J.A. 1983, Information and data modeling: state of the art and research directions. In 'Second scandinavian research seminar on information modelling and data base management', ed. H.Kangassalo. Oulu, Acta Universitatis Ouluensis A150.

C01 Calcar,W.I.M. van 1974, Een grammatica voor het onderwijs in het Nederlands en de moedertaal. Assen, Van Gorcum.

C02 Chen,P.P. 1977, The entity-relationship approach to logical data base design. Wellesley, Q.E.D. Information Sciences.

C03 Chen,P.P. 1980, ed. Of 'Entity-relationship approach to systems analysis and design'. Amsterdam, North-Holland.

C04 Churchman, C.W. 1968, The systems approach. New York, Delta Book.

C05 Cock Buning,T. de 1979, Au au!! Het raadsel pijn. In *Intermediair*, jrg. 15, nr. 49.

C06 Codd,E.F. 1970, A relational model of data for large shared data banks. *Comm. ACM*, vol. 13, nr. 6.

C07 Constantine,L.L., G.J.Myers en W.P.Stevens 1974, Structured design. *IBM Systems Journal*, Vol. 13, nr. 2.

D01 Dale,J.H. van 1984, Van Dale groot woordenboek der Nederlandse taal. Red. G.Geerts, H.Heestermans en C.Kruyskamp. Utrecht, Van Dale Lexicografie.

D02 Dalen,D. van 1981, Het intuïtionisme van Brouwer. In *Intermediair*, jrg. 17, nr. 39.

D03 Date,C.J. 1977, An introduction to database systems. Reading, Massachusetts, Addison-Wesley.

D04 Dictionary of computing 1987, Poughkeepsie, IBM Corporation, SC20-1699-07.

D05 Dik,S.C. 1978, Functional grammar. Amsterdam, North-Holland.

D06 Dik,S.C. 1979, Seventeen sentences. Amsterdam, publ. nr. 22 van het Instituut voor algemene Taalwetenschap.

D07 Dik,S.C. en J.G.Kooij 1979, Algemene Taalwetenschap. Utrecht, Het Spectrum.

D08 Drucker,P.F. 1964, Management in de praktijk. Amsterdam, De Bussy.

D09 Dürrenmatt,F. 1976, Onzegbaarheden. In 'Vrij Nederland', jrg. 37, 31 januari.

D10 Dijk,T.A. van 1978, Tekstwetenschap. Utrecht, Het Spectrum.

E01 Emde Boas,G. van en P. van Emde Boas 1986, Storing & evaluating Horn-clause rules in a relational database. IBM Journal of Research and Development, vol. 30, nr. 2.

E02 Emery,F.E. 1969, ed. Of 'Systems thinking'. Harmondsworth, Penguin Books.

G01 Galbraith,J.K. 1978, The new industrial state. New American library.

G02 Galbraith,J.R. 1973, Designing complex organizations. Reading, Mass., Addison-Wesley.

G03 Gelernter, D. 1987, Programming for advanced computing. In 'Scientific American', vol. 257, nr. 4.

G04 Groot,A.D. de 1971, Methodologie. 's-Gravenhage, Mouton & Co.

H01 Hall,A.D. en R.E.Fagen 1956, Definition of system. In 'General Systems', Volume I. The Society for General Systems Research.

H02 Hayek,F.A. 1960, The corporation in a democratic society. In 'Business strategy' 1969, ed. H.I.Ansoff. Harmondsworth, Penguin Books.

H04 Hennipman,P. 1945, Economisch motief en economisch principe. Amsterdam, Noord-hollandsche uitgevers maatschappij.

H05 Hofstadter,D.R. 1980, Godel, Escher, Bach. New York, Vintage Books.

H06 Hsu,J. en N.Roussopoulos 1980, Database conceptual modelling. In 'Entity-relationship approach to systems analysis and design', ed. P.P.Chen. Amsterdam, North-Holland.

I01 IBM DB2 Application Programming Guide 1988, San Jose, IBM Corporation, SC26-4380.

I02 Iivari,J. 1986, Dimensions of information system design: a framework for a long-range research program. In 'Information Systems' Vol. 11, no. 2 Pergamon Press.

I03 ITLI, the Institute for Language, Logic and Information, Annual Report 1987. University of Amsterdam.

J01 Jansson,C.G. 1985, A framework for representation. In 'Information systems: theoretical and formal aspects', ed. A.Sernadas, J.Bubenko jr., A.Olivé. Amsterdam, North-Holland.

J02 Jaques,E. en W.Brown 1965, Glacier project papers. London.

J03 Jay,A. 1967, Machiavelli in zaken. Amsterdam, H.J.Paris.

K01 Kampfner,R.R. 1987, A hierarchical model of organizational control for the analysis of information systems requirements. In 'Information Systems', vol.12, no. 3.

K02 Katz,D. en R.L. Kahn 1966, Common characteristics of open systems. In 'Systems thinking' 1969, ed. F.E.Emery. Harmondsworth, Penguin Books.

K04 Kast,F.E. en J.E.Rosenzweig 1973, Contingency Views of Organization and Management. Chicago, Science Research Associates.

K05 Kepner,C.H. en B.B.Tregoe 1965, The rational manager. New York, Mcgraw-Hill.

K06 Kent,W. 1978, Data and reality. Amsterdam, North-Holland.

K07 Keuning,D. 1973, Algemene systeemtheorie, systeembenadering en organisatiekunde. Leiden, Stenfert Kroese.

K08 Klein,K. en R.Hirschheim 1987, Social change and the future of information systems development. In 'Critical issues in information systems design', ed. R.J.Boland en R.A.Hirschheim. Chicester, John Wiley & Sons.

K10 Klooster,W.G. en H.J.Verkuyl/J.H.Luif 1974, Inleiding tot de syntaxis. Culemborg, Stam/Robijns.

K11 Koestler,A. 1967, The ghost in the machine. London, Hutchinson & Co.

K12 Koningsveld,H. 1980, Het verschijnsel wetenschap. Meppel, Boom.

K13 Konsynski,B.R. 1986, Advances in Information Systems Design. In 'Journal of Management Information Systems', Winter 1985-1986, Vol I, No 3.

K14 Kramer,N.J.T.A. 1978, Systeem in probleem. Leiden, Stenfert Kroese.

K15 Kramer,N.J.T.A. en J. de Smit 1974, Systeemdenken. Leiden, Stenfert Kroese.

K16 Kuhn,T.S. 1979, De structuur van wetenschappelijke revoluties. Meppel, Boom.

K17 Kuypers,K. e.a. 1977, Encyclopedie van de filosofie. Amsterdam, Elsevier.

L01 Leeuw,A.C.J. de 1974, Systeemleer en organisatiekunde. Leiden, Stenfert Kroese.

L02 Likert,R. 1965, Nieuwe wegen voor leiding en organisatie. Amsterdam, De Bussy.

L03 Lievegoed,B.C.J. 1980, Organisaties in ontwikkeling. Rotterdam, Lemniscaat.

L04 Lindblom,C.E. 1959, The science of 'muddling through'. In 'Business strategy' 1969, ed. H.I.Ansoff. Harmondsworth, Penguin Books.

L05 Luhmann,N. 1968, Zweckbegriff und Systemrationalität. Tübingen, J.C.B.Mohr (Paul Siebeck).

L06 Lyytinen,K. 1987, Two views of information modeling. In 'Information & Management 12'. Amsterdam, North-Holland.

L07 Lyytinen,K. 1987, A taxonomic perspective of information systems development: theoretical constructs and recommendations. In 'Critical issues in information systems design', ed. R.J.Boland en R.A.Hirschheim. Chicester, John Wiley & Sons.

M01 Maes,R., J.Vanthienen en M.Verhelst 1983, 'Practical experiences with the PROcedural DEcision MOdeling system. In 'Processes and tools for decision support', ed. H.G.Sol. Amsterdam, North-Holland.

M02 Maes,R. 1987, In 'Informatiebeleid en informatieplanning', onder redactie van R.Maes e.a. 's-Gravenhage, VUGA uitgeverij.

M04 Magee,B. 1974, Popper. Utrecht, Het Spectrum.

M05 Martin,J. 1980, Data planning methodologies. Carnforth, Savant research studies.

M06 Mesarovic,M.D., D.Macko en Y.Takahara 1970, Theory of hierarchical, multilevel, systems. New York, Academic Press.

M07 Mintzberg,H. 1979, The structuring of organizations. Englewood Cliffs, Prentice Hall.

- M08 Mitroff,I.I. 1974, The subjective side of science. Amsterdam, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company.
- M09 Moigne,J.L. le en E.H.Sibley 1986, Information - organization - decision: some strange loops. In 'Information & Management 11'. Amsterdam, North-Holland.
- M10 Mulder,M. 1975, Het spel om de macht. Meppel, Boom.
- M11 Mumford,E. 1987, Managerial expert systems and organizational change: some critical research issues. In 'Critical issues in information systems design', ed. R.J.Boland en R.A.Hirschheim. Chicester, John Wiley & Sons.
- M12 Mylopoulos,J. en H.J.Levesque 1984, An overview of Knowledge Representation. In 'On Conceptual Modelling', ed. M.L.Brodie, J.Mylopoulos en J.W.Schmidt. New York, Springer-Verlag.
- N01 Nagel,E. 1956, A formalization of functionalism. In 'Systems thinking' 1969, ed. F.E.Emery. Harmondsworth, Penguin Books.
- N02 Nagel,E. 1961, The structure of science. London, Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- N03 Nevitt,B. 1982, Visible and invisible bias via media. In 'General Systems', Volume XXVII. The Society for General Systems Research.
- N04 Newell,A. en H.A.Simon 1976, Computer science as empirical inquiry: symbols and search. In 'Communications of the ACM', Volume 19 nr. 3.
- N05 Newman,W.H. 1973, Strategy and Management Structure. In 'Contingency views of organization and management', ed. F.E.Kast en J.E.Rosenzweig. Chicago, SRA.
- N06 Nielen,G.C. 1969, Informatiesystemen en het besturen van ondernemingen. Alphen aan den Rijn, Samsom.
- N07 Nielen,G.C. 1976, De bedoeling van informatie voor mens en organisatie. Alphen aan den Rijn, Samsom.
- N08 Nielen,G.C. en H.J. de Vries 1979, Het begin van de informatica. Alphen aan den Rijn, Samsom.
- N09 Nijssen,G.M. 1977, ed. of: Architecture and models in data base management systems. Amsterdam, North-Holland.
- O01 Olle,T.W., H.G.Sol en A.A.Verrijn-Stuart 1982, eds. of 'Information systems design methodologies: a comparative review'. Amsterdam, North-Holland.
- O02 Olle,T.W., H.G.Sol en C.Tully 1983, eds. of 'Information systems design methodologies: a feature analysis'. Amsterdam, North-Holland.
- O03 Olle,T.W., H.G.Sol en A.A.Verrijn-Stuart 1986, eds. of 'Information systems design methodologies: improving the practice'. Amsterdam, North-Holland.
- O04 Ouspensky,P.D. 1978, De mens en zijn mogelijke evolutie. Wassenaar, Mirananda.
- P01 Peled, A. 1987, The next computer revolution. In 'Scientific American', vol. 257, nr. 4
- P02 Peursen,C.A. van 1980, De opbouw van de wetenschap. Meppel, Boom.
- P03 Peursen,C.A. van, C.P.Bertels en D.Nauta 1968, Informatie. Utrecht, Het Spectrum.
- P04 Pirsig,R.M. 1974, Zen and the art of motorcycle maintenance. London, Corgi.
- P06 Popper,K.R. 1972, The logic of scientific discovery. London, Hutchinson.

P07 Popper,K.R. 1974, De armoede van het historicisme. Utrecht, Het Spectrum.

P08 Popper,K.R. 1978, De groei van kennis. Meppel, Boom.

R01 Rapoport,A. 1978, What is semantics. In 'General Systems', Volume XXIII. The Society for General Systems Research.

R02 Rapoport,A. 1978, What do you mean? In 'General Systems', Volume XXIII. The Society for General Systems Research.

R03 Roest,W.F. 1976, Systeemtheorie voor de automatiseringspraktijk. Amsterdam, IBM Nederland, GR14-5907.

R04 Roest,W.F. 1976, Verlenging van de gebruiksduur van programmatuur. In 'Informatie' jrg. 18, nr. 11.

R05 Roest,W.F. 1978, Structures within structures. Amsterdam, IBM Nederland, GR14-5908.

R06 Roest,W.F. 1979, TACT, time assessment and calculation technique. Amsterdam, IBM Nederland, GR14-5909.

R07 Roest,W.F. 1980, SHAKE, structuring hierarchies and key entities. Amsterdam, IBM Nederland, GR14-5910.

R08 Roest,W.F. 1980, Inleiding systeemontwikkelings methodieken. Amsterdam, IBM Nederland, GR14-5901.

R09 Roest,W.F. 1983, Het hoe en het wat van het wat en het hoe. In 'Methodieken voor informatiesysteemontwikkeling', ed. Th.Bemelmans. Amsterdam, NGI.

R10 Roest,W.F. 1984, Namen noemen. In 'Informatie', jrg. 26, nr. 6.

R11 Roest,W.F. 1986, Beslissen is menselijk. In 'Persoonlijk computergebruik in organisaties'. Amsterdam, IBM Nederland, G514-5770.

R12 Roest,W.F. 1987, Waarom vierde-generatie software: het economisch perspectief. In de kongresbundel 'Duidelijkheid in de 4e generatie software'. Eindhoven, Technische Universiteit.

R13 Roest,W.F. 1987, Zinvol modelleren. Amsterdam, IBM Nederland, G514-5890.

R14 Rosenblueth,A., N.Wiener en J.Bigelow 1943, Behavior, purpose and teleology. In 'Philosophy of science, Volume X.

S01 Sachs,W.M. 1976, Toward formal foundations of teleological systems science. In 'General Systems', Volume XXI. The Society for General Systems Research.

S02 Schoorl,J.J. 1986, De computer als vertaler. Meppel, Boom.

S03 Schroeffer,H.J. van der 1965, Leiding en organisatie van het bedrijf. Amsterdam, Kosmos.

S04 Sebus,G.M.W. 1987, Informatieplanning: een toekomstperspectief. In 'Informatie, jrg. 29, nr. 11.

S05 Selznick,P. 1948, Foundations of the theory of organizations. In 'Systems thinking' 1969, ed. F.E. Emery. Harmondsworth, Penguin Books.

S06 Senko,M.E. 1977, Data structures and data accessing in data base systems past, present, future. IBM Systems Journal, Vol. 16, nr. 3.

S08 Shaw,M. 1984, The impact of modelling and abstraction concerns on modern programming languages. In 'On Conceptual Modelling', ed. M.L.Brodie, J.Mylopoulos en J.W.Schmidt. New York, Springer-Verlag.

S09 Simon,H.A. 1956, Rational choice and the structure of the environment. In 'Systems thinking' 1969, ed. F.E. Emery. Harmondsworth, Penguin Books.

- S10 Simon,H.A. 1964, On the concept of organizational goal. In 'Business strategy' 1969, ed. H.I.Ansoff.. Harmondsworth, Penguin Books.
- S11 Simon,H.A. 1966, De besluitvorming in de organisatie. Amsterdam, De Bussy.
- S12 Simon,H.A. 1969, The sciences of the artificial. Cambridge, The M.I.T. Press.
- S13 Simon,H.A. 1980, Cognitive science: the newest science of the artificial. In 'Cognitive science' nr. 4.
- S15 Sol,H.G. 1982, Simulation in information systems development. Dissertatie Rijksuniversiteit Groningen.
- S16 Sol,H.G. 1983, ed. of 'Processes and tools for decision support'. Amsterdam, North-Holland.
- S17 Sparck Jones,K. en M.Kay 1973, Linguistics and information science. New York, Academic Press.
- S18 Stamper,R. 1973, Information in business and administrative systems. London, B.T. Batsford.
- S19 Stamper,R. 1975, Information science for systems analysis. In 'Human choice and computers', ed. E.Mumford en H.Sackman. Amsterdam, North-Holland.
- S20 Stamper,R. 1987, Semantics. In 'Critical issues in information systems design', ed. R.J.Boland en R.A.Hirschheim. Chicester, John Wiley & Sons.
- S21 Starreveld,R.W. 1979, Bestuurlijke informatieverzorging, Deel I, Algemene grondslagen. Alphen aan den Rijn, Samsom.
- S22 Stehouwer,L.G. 1987, Automatisering als managementprobleem: een aanzet tot modelvorming. In 'Informatie' jrg. 29, nr. 1.

- S23 Stonebraker,M. 1984, A database perspective. In 'On Conceptual Modelling', ed. M.L.Brodie, J.Mylopoulos en J.W.Schmidt. New York, Springer-Verlag.
- S24 Swanson,E.B. 1987, Information systems in organization theory: a review. In 'Critical issues in information systems design', ed. R.J.Boland en R.A.Hirschheim. Chicester, John Wiley & Sons.
- T01 Thierry,H. 1973, Organisatie en leiding. Leiden, Stenfert Kroese.
- T02 Turner,J.A. 1987, Understanding the elements of system design. In 'Critical issues in information systems design', ed. R.J.Boland en R.A.Hirschheim. Chicester, John Wiley & Sons.
- V01 Veld,J. in 't 1978, Analyse van organisatieproblemen. Amsterdam, Elsevier.
- V02 Venkatraman,N. 1986, Research on MIS-planning: some guidelines from strategic planning research. In 'Journal of Management Information Systems', Winter 1985-1986, Vol. II, no. 3.
- V03 Verburg,P. 1966, De betekenis van de kosteninformatie voor de besluitvorming. Leiden, Stenfert Kroese.
- V04 Verrijn Stuart,A. 1987, Themes and Trends in Information Systems: TC 8, 1975-1985. In 'The Computer Journal', vol. 30, no. 2.
- V05 Vries,J. de 1963, Etymologisch woordenboek. Utrecht, Het Spectrum.
- W01 Walraven,T. 1975, Taalgebruik en taalwetenschap. Assen, Van Gorcum.
- W02 Webster's new world dictionary of the American language. 1976, Cleveland, William Collins + World Publishing Co.
- W03 Welke,R.J. en B.R.Konsynski 1982, Technology, methodology & information systems: a tripartite view In 'Data base', vol. 14, no 2.

W04 Wilson,M.L. 1980, The measurement of usability.
In 'Entity-relationship approach to systems analysis and design',
ed. P.P.Chen. Amsterdam, North-Holland.

Z01 Ziles,N. 1984, Types, algebras, and modelling.
In 'On Conceptual Modelling', ed. M.L.Brodie, J.Mylopoulos en
J.W.Schmidt. New York, Springer-Verlag.

Z02 Ziles,N. 1984, A programming language perspective.
In 'On Conceptual Modelling', ed. M.L.Brodie, J.Mylopoulos en
J.W.Schmidt. New York, Springer-Verlag.

Z03 Zukav,G. 1979, The dancing Wu Li masters.
London, Rider/Hutchinson.